UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/713,834	11/14/2003	Junichi Ogikubo	450100-04810	2578
	7590 10/15/201 AWRENCE & HAUG	EXAMINER		
745 FIFTH AVENUE			TEKLE, DANIEL T	
NEW YORK, NY 10151			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2481	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/15/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/713,834	OGIKUBO, JUNICHI	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	DANIEL TEKLE	2481					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>05 October 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.					
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the (3) a Request				
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ao no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth tter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	on.				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		FIRST REPLY WAS FI	_ED WITHIN TWO				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as let forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two months	s of the date of				
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b			cause				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•	i E below),					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	•	ducing or simplifying tl	ne issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (l	PTOL-324).				
<ul><li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li><li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all</li></ul>		limal, filad amandmar	at aanaalina tha				
non-allowable claim(s).		•	_				
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		I be entered and an ex	kplanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and</li> </ol>							
was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	Ž		•				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing an entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  the examiner strongly disagrees with applicant position. The cited column and lines. Of Kobayahi and Chen et al. clearly define the claimed limitation. Specifically Figure 2 and 3, column 3 line 43 to column 4 lines 60 of Kobayashi and figure 6 of Chen et al. discloses every limitation of the claim. Therefore the examiner maintains that Kobayahi and Chen et al. discloses ever limitation of the claim as discussed in the final office action							
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). ( 13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
/Marsha D. Banks-Harold/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2482	/Daniel Tekle/ Examiner, Art Unit 2481						

## Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

**Application No.**Part of Paper No. 20101013